

REMARKS

The Office Action of January 31, 2005 has been carefully considered. In response thereto, the claims have been amended as set forth above. Reconsideration in view of the foregoing amended and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The specification was objected to by reason of certain informalities. The specification has been amended accordingly.

Claims 1-7 were rejected as being anticipated by Grover. Claims 3 and 5 have been cancelled. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to more clearly distinguish over the cited reference. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

More particularly, claims 1 and 7 have been amended to recite that the first data input system comprises a real or virtual keyboard configured to associate a specific keystroke with a plurality of graphical characters, and the second data input system is a speech recognition input system, a handwriting input system, or a stylus input system. No such combination of features is believed to be taught or suggested by Grover.

Accordingly, claims 1 and 7 are believed to patentably define over the cited references. Claims 2, 4 and 6 are believed to add novel and patentable subject matter to claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 1-7 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: April 4, 2000

REMARKS

The Office Action of January 31, 2005 has been carefully considered. In response thereto, the claims have been amended as set forth above. Reconsideration in view of the foregoing amended and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The specification was objected to by reason of certain informalities. The specification has been amended accordingly.

Claims 1-7 were rejected as being anticipated by Grover. Claims 3 and 5 have been cancelled. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to more clearly distinguish over the cited reference. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

More particularly, claims 1 and 7 have been amended to recite that the first data input system comprises a real or virtual keyboard configured to associate a specific keystroke with a plurality of graphical characters, and the second data input system is a speech recognition input system, a handwriting input system, or a stylus input system. No such combination of features is believed to be taught or suggested by Grover.

Accordingly, claims 1 and 7 are believed to patentably define over the cited references. Claims 2, 4 and 6 are believed to add novel and patentable subject matter to claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 1-7 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: April 4, 2000

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)